

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,437	7 07/11/2001		Hironobu Kiyomoto	15115/005001	8917
22511	7590	10/12/2005		EXAM	INER
OSHA LIANG L.L.P.				LUU, THANH X	
1221 MCKINNEY STREET					DA DED ANDADED
SUITE 2800			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON.	TX 770	10		2878	

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		An
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/903,437	KIYOMOTO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thanh X. Luu	2878
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	1 September 2005.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.l	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 16,25 and 38-42 is/are pending in	the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 41 and 42 is/are	withdrawn from consideration	ı.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,25 and 38-40</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	·
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• ,	` '
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	·	-
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ol>	ents have been received.	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li></ol>	ents have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		n received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.
Attachment(s)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/903,437 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 41 and 42 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the claims are directed to a different species.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 41 and 42 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claims 16, 25 and 38-40 are addressed below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Siedentopf (U.S. Patent 929,795).

Regarding claim 16, Siedentopf discloses (see Fig.) an optical component (e) comprising: a transparent body having a direction emission region (at d), a total reflection region (see beam 3) disposed around the direct emission region, and a curved reflective surface (at e<sup>0</sup>) which faces the direction emission region and the total

reflection region; a recess (not labeled) provided on the curved reflective surface, wherein the thickness of the transparent body is smaller than a diameter of an outer edge of the curved reflective surface, wherein a length between a center of the direct emission region and a boundary between the direct emission region and the total reflection region is smaller than a length between the boundary and the outer edge of the curved reflective surface, wherein the curved reflective surface except for the recess is covered with a high reflective material (e<sup>0</sup>), wherein the direction emission region passes incident light directly passing through the recess and wherein the curved reflective surface indirectly receives light passing through the recess and the total reflection region reflects incident light directly passing through the recess and passes the light reflected by the curved reflective surface through the total reflection region. Examiner notes that since the shape and structure of the optical element of Siedentopf is the same as Applicant's claimed invention (see Fig. 46) incident light from the recess side behaves as claimed.

4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Polanyi et al. (U.S. Patent 2,683,394).

Regarding claim 16, Polanyi et al. disclose (see Fig. 4) an optical component comprising: a transparent body (58) having a direction emission region (center region), a total reflection region (outer region) disposed around the direct emission region, and a curved reflective surface (65) which faces the direction emission region and the total reflection region; a recess (at 73) provided on the curved reflective surface, wherein the thickness of the transparent body is smaller than a diameter of an outer edge of the

Art Unit: 2878

curved reflective surface, wherein a length between a center of the direct emission region and a boundary between the direct emission region and the total reflection region is smaller than a length between the boundary and the outer edge of the curved reflective surface, wherein the curved reflective surface except for the recess is covered with a high reflective material (see col. 4, line 60), wherein the direction emission region passes incident light directly passing through the recess and wherein the curved reflective surface indirectly receives light passing through the recess and the total reflection region reflects incident light directly passing through the recess and passes the light reflected by the curved reflective surface through the total reflection region.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 25 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Polanyi et al. in view of Perissinotto et al. (U.S. Patent 5,485,317).

Regarding claims 25 and 39, Polanyi et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. Polanyi et al. further disclose (see Fig. 4) a light emitting element (74) disposed in the recess. Polanyi et al. do not specifically disclose a transparent resin as claimed. Perissinotto et al. teach (see Figs. 2 and 3) a transparent resin (in recess 21) as claimed and a plurality of components. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide such a resin and a

plurality of components in the apparatus of Harris in view of Perissinotto et al. to properly fix the light emitting element and to provide brighter illumination.

7. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Polanyi et al. in view of Godbillion et al. (U.S. Patent 6,264,347).

Regarding claim 38, Polanyi et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. Polanyi et al. do not specifically disclose a fresnel lens shaped pattern as claimed. Godbillion et al. teach (see Fig. 4) a fresnel lens pattern is formed on a curved reflective surface in a similar device. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide such a fresnel lens pattern in the apparatus of Polanyi et al. in view of Godbillion et al. to obtain a desired pattern of illumination.

8. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Polanyi et al.

Regarding claim 40, Polanyi et al. disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. Harris does not specifically a circuit board as claimed. However, it is notoriously well known in the art to mount light emitting elements on circuit boards for connection to other circuitry or to a power source. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide such a circuit board the apparatus of Polanyi et al. to properly mount and power the device.

# Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,437 Page 6

Art Unit: 2878

## Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-2441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on 571-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,437

Art Unit: 2878

Page 7

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanh X Luu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878

09/2005